(8)

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

	Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		James B. Moran		Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge		<u> </u>		
CAS	E NUMBER	01 C	8921	議 孫、	DATE	6/14/2	2002		
	CASE TITLE								
мот	ION:	[In the following box (a) of the motion being pres		he motion, e.	g., plaintiff, defer	ndant, 3rd party plaintiff, and	(b) state briefly the nature		
	Memorandum Opinion and Order								
DOC	KET ENTRY:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
(1)	☐ Filed	☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]							
(2)	□ Brief	Brief in support of motion due							
(3)	. □ Ansv	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due							
(4)	☐ Rulir	Ruling/Hearing on set for at							
(5)	☐ Statu	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(6)	□ Pretr	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(7)	☐ Trial	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at							
(8)	☐ [Ben	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at							
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).							
(10)	[Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Opinion and Order. The motion to dismiss is denied. Status hearing set for July 10, 2002 at 9:15am.								
(11)	For	further detail see orde	r attached to the ori	ginal minu	ite order.]				
	No notices required, advised in open court.						Document Number		
	No notices required.					number of notices			
	Notices mailed by judge's staff. Notified counsel by telephone.					JUN 1 7 2002			
1	Docketing to mail notices.		date A			date docketed	00		
	Mail AO 450 form.		U.S. DISTRICT COURT			docket pardeputy initials			
	Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		2, ,						
	WAH	courtroom deputy's initials	OS JUN 14 PH 1: 13		date mailed notice				
	i AA VYI I		OT OBAGA	ne rectived i	n	mailing deputy initiate			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

DOCKETEDJUN 1 7 2002

KATHLEEN PAPPAS,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.	No. 01 C 8921
SOUTHWEST PARTNERS, INC., d/b/a WILLIAM BUICK,)))
Defendant.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff claims she contracted for an extended service contract on a vehicle she purchased from defendant and defendant did not purchase it. She also claims that she paid considerably more than the price stated on the Monroney sticker, which included more items than she got, and that the only reason she knows that is that she complained months later to the manufacturer about the absence of a sticker, and then it was supplied. Defendant has furnished an affidavit stating that an extended service contract was purchased (although not from the same company or on the same terms previously represented). And it contends it can sell a vehicle for whatever the buyer will pay. It moves to dismiss.

The motion to dismiss is denied. Defendant concedes the extended service contract motion is one for summary judgment, which was filed in lieu of an answer. It is premature. Perhaps defendant is right, but there has been no discovery and plaintiff is entitled to reasonable discovery before contending with a summary judgment motion. We are also not persuaded that a claim that charging a price well in excess of that stated on a Monroney sticker, without disclosing the sticker, is perfectly justifiable.

JAMES B. MORAN
Senior Judge, U. S. District Court

14, 2002.

22